

# LGPS Code of Transparency

**Training** 





# <u>Objective</u>

- A general overview of the LGPS Transparency Code
- Rationale for the Code
- Implementation of compliance to the Code
- Effect on the Pension Fund Accounts





#### Rational for the code

- A voluntary Code of Transparency into investment management fees and cost was developed and approved by the scheme advisory board (SAB) was launched in May 2017
- The Financial Conduct Authority wanted to see a more consistent and standardised disclosure of costs and charges for institutional investors, providing a clearer understanding of costs and charges for a given fund or mandate.
- This would allow investors to compare charges between providers giving them a clear expectation of the disclosures they can expect





#### Implementation of compliance to the Code

- Fund Managers have been encouraged to sign up to the code
- 9 out of 11 funds within the Havering Portfolio have confirmed they are transparency compliant
- Templates have been developed to evidence all costs and charges, previously unseen
- A Compliance and Reporting System is being developed which will enable managers to evidence compliance with the code, via a single line portal





### Effect on the Pension Fund Accounts

The major effect the code will have on the Pension Fund Accounts is to give a clearer and more accurate disclosure of the management costs incurred, these are made up of

- Direct Costs Management Fees invoiced directly from Investment manager
- Indirect Cost Costs deducted from the value of the fund

It is the indirect costs that the code is trying to address, in the past they have been hidden by offsetting these against the value of the fund, so we have not always know the true cost of these.





## Effect on the Pension Fund Accounts

The bottom line value of the fund will not be effected by the changes to the code, it is just a matter of reporting the management cost in a different place in the accounts

See following examples





# Example 1 – Before Indirect Fees adjustment

Value of			Change in	Net Value		
fund XXXX	purchases	Sales	market Value	of Fund		
694	60	40	17	731		
The indirect <sup>(</sup>	fees have a	lready been de	ducted from th	he fund value	hy the fu	nd Managar
memarco					- by the ru	na Manager
Managemen					by the ru	





# Example 1 – Net Asset Statement before Indirect Fee Adjustment

			£mil
Contributions			40
Benefits			-38
Net additions (withdra	awals) from dealing	gs with mem	2
Manangement cost			
Direct Costs (invoices)	I		-3
Net additions/(withdra	wals) including fu	nd manager	-1
Investment Income			10
Profit Loss on disposal	of Investments		
and change in Market	Value		17
Net returns on investr	nents		27
Net increase (decreas	•	s available	
for benefits during the	е уеаг		26
Opening net assets of t	he Fund at start of y	ear	707
Closing net assets of t	he Fund at end of y	ear	733







# Example 2 – After Indirect Fees adjustment

correct this v	ve increase	the sales figur	e and the closi	ng change in	market Va	lue		
See example	below							
Value of fund XXXX	purchases	Sales plus indirect fees added back	Change in market Value increased by indirect fees	Net Value of Fund				
700	60	40	17	737				
Indirect fee Adju	ustm ent	1	1					
700	60	41	18	737				
The indirect	fees are the	en deducted fro	om the value of	f the fund th	rough the	Managem	ent cost di	sclosu
Mananagme	nt Fees							
Direct Fees			-3					
indirect fees			-1					





# Example 2 – Net Asset Statement before Indirect Fee Adjustment

			£mil
Contribution	15		40
Benefits			-38
Netaddition	s (withdrawals) from a	lealings with mem	2
Manangeme	nt cost		
Direct Costs	(invoices)		-3
indirect cost	s		-1
			-4
Net addition	s/(withdrawals) includ	ling fund	-4
Net addition managemer	· ·	ling fund	-4
	nt expenses	ling fund	-2
managemer Investment In	nt expenses		-2 10
managemer Investment In Profit Loss of	ome		-
managemer Investment In Profit Loss of	nt expenses come n disposal of Investme		-2 10 18
managemer Investment In Profit Loss of Net returns o	nt expenses come n disposal of Investme		-2 10 18 28
managemer Investment In Profit Loss of Net returns of for benefits	nt expenses come n disposal of Investme on investments	ents	-2 10 18







# Zero Effect on the Closing Net Asset Value

- As shown in example 2, the effect of the adjustment made for the disclosure of the indirect fees on the net asset value is zero
- We increased the Management costs (by including the indirect fees) which has been offset by the increased change in market value.
- This adjustment meets the objective to give a clearer and more accurate disclosure of management costs incurred as laid out by the Transparency code

